

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/480,472	06/06/1995	SHERROL H. MCDONOUGH	213/066	9286
21365	7590 06/16/2004		EXAM	INER
	EINCORPORATED		MARSCHEL, ARDIN H	
10210 GENETIC CENTER DRIVE SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1631	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		
	Off	fice Actio
		Off

n Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s) MCDONOUGH ET AL.		
08/480,472			
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ardin Marschel	1631		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>24 February 200</u>	<u>04</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except	for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See attached listing</u> is/are	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See attached listing</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from co	nsideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) See attached listing is/are	allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See attached listing</u> is/are	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See attached listing</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See attached listing</u> is/are	objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or election re	equirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any obje	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
•		ed if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority 	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
Certified copies of the priority	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 2/24/04.				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date	•	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 08/480,472

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Due to the newly applied rejections set forth below, the finality of the Office action, mailed 12/29/03, is hereby withdrawn.

Applicants' arguments, filed 2/24/04, have been fully considered and they are deemed to be persuasive to overcome previous rejections of record. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

SUMMARY OF CLAIMS STATUS

Pending claims: 39-42, 48-51, 54-56, 67-73, 75, 78-80, 82-84, 86, 88-90, 92, 93, 95, 96, 98-162, 164-174, 176-213, and 216-231

Allowed claims: 42, 55, 56, 75, 78-80, 82, 83, 95, 96, 100-149, 151-157, 164-167, 169-172, 174, 177-179, 185, 187-191, 195, 196, 199-203, 216-221, and 227-231 Rejected claims: 39-41, 67-73, 84, 86, 88-90, 92, 98, 150, 158-162, 168, 173,

176, 180-184, 186, 192-194, 197, 198, 204-213, and 222-226

Objected to claims: 48-51, 54, 93, and 99

Canceled claims: 1-38, 43-47, 52, 53, 57-66, 74, 76, 77, 81, 85, 87, 91, 94, 97, 163, 175, 214, and 215

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 08/480,472

Art Unit: 1631

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 39-41, 67-73, 84, 86, 88-90, 92, 98, 150, 158-162, 168, 173, 176, 180-184, 186, 192-194, 197, 198, 204-213, and 222-226 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boddinghaus et al. [J. Clin. Microbiol. 28:1751 (1990)]; taken in view of Suzuki et al. [J. Bact 170(6):2886 (1988)]; taken further in view of Shah et al. (P/N 5,521,300).

The combination of references of Boddinghaus et al. with Suzuki et al. is reiterated from the previous office action, mailed 12/19/03. Applicants have argued that there is therein no motivation to detect M. bovis BCG from other organisms. Shah et al. describes a variety of microorganisms including various Mycobacteria species such as tuberculosis, bovis BCG, etc. in column 1, line 1, through column 3, line 7, including the motivation and suggestion to distinguish such species including via amplification.

Thus, it would have been obvious at the time of the instant invention to amplify

M. bovis BCG via its rRNA sequences as set forth in the combination of Boddinghaus et

Art Unit: 1631

al. and Suzuki et al. motivated for such amplification for distinguishing microorganisms as set forth in Shah et al. to result in the practice of the instant invention.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, Ph.D., can be reached on (571) 272-0722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Ardin H. March 6/11/04

June 11, 2004